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Brilliance bears temporal character. Very often it happens so, that especially genius people work out a methodology that becomes an effective way to understand new phenomena in the future. L. Wittgenstein's personality appears to be one of these. "Language-game" conception developed by him still takes an important place in philosophical investigations. It is commonly known, that L. Wittgenstein firstly used the term "language-game" in "Philosophical Investigations" which were published in 1953. In course of time he changed the understanding of this conception, but the key idea remained the same: when sense impinges upon the language, it multiplies absurdity [Винтенштейн Л. Философские исследования // Философские работы : пер. с нем. – М., 1994. – Ч. I. – С. 129]. L. Wittgenstein agrees with the representatives of analytic philosophy concerning the priority of languages above phenomena. Later on he discovers the question of method and transforms indignation into the instrument for analysis.

Being a junior school teacher, the researcher noticed that the studying process is much more effective when it includes language components. One of the first definitions come out of this basic notions: I will call "language-game" a single unity: actions which the language is connected with [Там же. – С. 83]. Any language-game must have a naming procedure, that is analogous to the original location of draughts on the table. Irrevocably, it is determined by certain rules and norms, but names for things are given voluntarily and the only present criterion here is participants' convention. With due time, W. V. O. Quine made a conclusion, that phenomena's divisions into a name and an object certainly end in a stage, when "massive manufacture of general terms… significantly exceeds the amount of existing objects" [Куайн У. О. Слова и объект // Новое в зарубежной лингвистике. 1989. – Вып. 18. – С. 79]. Especially this provokes the occurrence of language-games in a definite kinds of practices. L. Wittgenstein's justifies a conclusion, that there is no sense talking about a dominant language-game. The only thing that might be thought – is the existence of different games' "families".

With the development of programming languages, appearance of calculation machines, development of formalized systems, L. Wittgenstein's philosophical ideas took backseat. In the 50–90s of XX century they seemed to
be utopian and out-of-date. Mankind started to use identical, standardized ways of procession and transmission of information. Researches became interested in everyday and natural languages less and less. Its cognitive, sociocultural and methodological potential was considered to be not enough for a social progress. A famous scientist A. Tarski continuously confirmed, that everyday language is contradictory, that's why its formalization is quite difficult. In opposition to this, L. Wittgenstein didn't limit his work only to the solution of practical tasks, but tried to present the "language-game" conception as a universal methodological instruction, that should "bring to the consciousness a unique variety of language tools and ways of their usage, fight with the stereotypes, that the language always works identically and serves for identical reasons" [Козлова М. С. Идея "языковых игр" // Философские идеи Людвига Витгенштейна / под ред. М. С. Козловой. – М., 1996. – С. 16]. That is why language-games are considered by him as "life's forms", the varieties of which are determined by the life's wealth and kinds of human practices.

Giving a characteristic to such an approach, N. Chomsky emphasizes that here, genesis of the language is seen not as a practical problem (this typically occurs with constructed languages), but as "a way of doing a numerous number of individual creative activities" [Хомский Н. Картизинская лингвистика. Глава из истории рационалистической мысли : пер. с англ. – М., 2005. – С. 54]. Unfortunately, this idea didn't get a wide recognition among linguists. Only on the edge of the 21 century, it became widely known, that an individual, who lives in the information era, doesn't want to limit his/her communicative activities to simple programming languages. The task of the software developers – to transmit "life forms" into virtual area, saving to the maximum its attributes and here the common language and "language-games" that function in it, become indispensable tools.

The secret of L. Wittgenstein's philosophical intuition is that he didn't stop on the conflict between common language means and requests to it. The scientist believed that accuracy and strictness of formalized languages – is a unique illusion. "Language-games" are "certain models, that... are called upon to enlighten the possibilities of our language" [Витгенштейн Л. Указ. соч. – С. 131]. "Language-games" give a possibility to overcome the communication problem between I and Somebody Else in the virtual space of social networks. L. Wittgenstein, who couldn't foresee their appear in the XXI century, believed that "language-games" lead to the unity of thought and reality. Such model of information space is built now in the Internet. A thought, action, reality and life emerged into one communicative action, where common language plays a dominant role. It became apparent that any language simplification doesn't meet the demands of the society. Moreover, the flexibility of a natural language guarantees the wide spread of innovative technologies, their integration into the real social space.

Value of L. Wittgenstein's philosophy is that he developed the notion "networks of understanding". He showed, that a real language can't be defined only by the laws of syntax, as it immediately turns into abstraction. For a language not to lose its functions, we should take into account its semantic
and pragmatic aspects and only then a natural language will simulate general principles and structure of any constructed language. It will turn into a certain “network”, that constructs reality in any variations of social space, including virtual. To B. V. Grygoriev’s opinion, the natural language in comparison with constructed languages is objective [Григорьев Б. В. Проблемы интерпретации. – М., 1997. – С. 27]. It doesn't ignore the peculiarities of the real world, being a part of it. Moreover, it can be interpreted very seldom, that's why it takes a role of an intersubjective beginning of any simulation.

On the contrary, common language includes metaphors, analogies, comparison, the origin of which cannot be explained. A logical question arises: can a natural language be the bearer of sense in the content where the author is absent? A message in the network, commentary, summary very often become impersonal, losing the direct connection with the author, and turning into an intertext. Here "language-games” start acting actively. A message evokes a lot of responses, comparison, checks, confirmations, contradictions and questions. If during his youth, L. Wittgenstein dreamt to create an ideal, single, complete, logical language, in his latest years he changed his understanding of the language. The language starts to be an unfinished, open system, where rules transform according to the new norms of the language function. We consider, that such approach gave L. Wittgenstein's philosophy a long life and interest of modern philosophers and scientists to his personality. Taking into account, that his ideas are productive while analyzing virtual social networks as well, we can undoubtedly talk about the formation of Wittgenstein's paradigm in the modern philosophy.

WITTGENSTEIN IN POST-SOVIET AREA: PAST AND NOW

Ludwig Wittgenstein is one of the prominent figures in XXth century philosophy. His outstanding influence and a variety of controversial interpretations with complicate history of publishing of his philosophical heritage makes his person outstanding not only for analytic philosophy but continental tradition as well. Oxford philosopher Peter Hacker known due to his deep research of Philosophical Investigations – the posthumous masterpiece of so called "later Wittgenstein" stresses his influence in such words: "Ludwig Wittgenstein...was the leading analytical philosopher of the twentieth century. His two philosophical masterpieces, the Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus (1921) and his posthumous Philosophical Investigations (1953), changed the course of the subject” [Hacker P. M. S. Wittgenstein // A Companion to the Philosophers. – Oxford : Blackwell, 1999. – P. 538].

Despite the high interest in the western philosophy, in Soviet Union the person of Wittgenstein had been perceived with hostility. How it was and